銀河化学進化から迫る中性子捕獲元素の起源

垂水 勇太



Elements in the Universe

- * Elements are synthesized in high-density environment.
- * Stars, compact objects, ...



Elements in the Universe

- * Elements are synthesized in high-density environment.
- * Stars, compact objects, ...



What is the origin of r-process elements?

<u>https://aasnova.org/2021/01/06/</u> warning-n<u>eutron-star-collision-immi</u>nent/



Neutron-Star Merger

- r-process is observationally confirmed
- Too long delay time?

Magneto-Rotational supernova

- r-process may happen
- Short delay time, consistent with rprocess trend at [Fe/ H] > -1



Collapsar

- r-process may happen
- <u>Negative</u> delay time?

S-process in AGB stars

Figure from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Asymptotic_giant_branch

- ⁹⁹Tc is observed in Baenhanced giants of AGB stage
- * During H, He shell burning, convection mixes layers and produce ¹³C via ${}^{12}C(p,\gamma){}^{13}N(\beta^+\nu){}^{13}C$
- * ${}^{13}C(\alpha, n){}^{16}O$ reaction produces neutrons



Chemical enrichment of a galaxy

- Stars imprint the chemical abundances of the natal cloud
- Spectroscopy reveals the elemental abundances of stars
- * What can we learn from them?



Local group galaxies https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Local_Group

Tucana II 😣

		A23 A11 A25 A15 A15 A15 A23 A17 A23 A23 A17 A25 A23 A16 A23	sup ^{big} # 4 million ly
Stellar Mass		C 1513 C tess C tess W.M KX23 KX24 KX24 KX24 KX24 KX25 KX24 KX24 KX24 KX24 KX24 KX24 KX24 KX24	2 million ly CV1 + Heroit Baton Booto Leo Leo A Leo A Leo A Leo T Composed a Leo A Leo T Composed a Leo A Leo A Leo P
MW	10 ^{10.5}	Ports	
Classical dwarf	$10^5 - 10^9$		CVII CVI - NGC 6822 Ma 1
Ultra-faint dwarf	$10^{2.5} - 10^5$	D Pisces II Ursa minor UMa II Trianculum 2	raco Boote I Boote II Coma Leo I
Globular cluster	$10^5 - 10^7$	Reticulum II Sculptor	Leo IV Leo T Carina
		Grus LM Horologium e	IC / Crater 2 SMC



 Time difference between formation of progenitor and production of r-process elements



age of the Universe (t)



 Time difference between formation of progenitor and production of r-process elements



r-process in MW

* Flat and diverging?



r-process in MW

* Flat and diverging?



r-process in MW

* Flat and diverging, but too many upper limits



Ba as the r-process tracer

* Is Ba appropriate as the r-process tracer?

Ba

Eu



Ba as the r-process tracer

Is Ba appropriate as the r-process tracer?





Ba as the r-process tracer

✤ Is Ba appropriate as the r-process tracer? → Yes!

Ba Eu



r-process in MW (metal-poor)



Tarumi+21

r-process in MW (metal-rich)

- Metal-rich regime shows no delay
- "2-phase ISM model" (Schoenrich+1 9), "natal kick" (Banerjee+20),



Barium in Milky Way



Classical dwarfs



Classical dwarf: Sculptor

Skuladottir+19

- [Y/Mg] [Ba/Mg] [La/Mg] [Nd/Mg] [Eu/Mg] -2.5 -2 -1.5 -1 -0.50 -3[Fe/H]
- [Ba/Mg] increase: sprocess delay
- [Eu/Mg] flat: no r-process delay

Disrupted classical dwarf: Gaia Enceladus Matsuno+21

 Gaia-Enceladus is r-rich, could be similar to some classical dwarfs



What are / Why UFDs? https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Local_Group

- UFDs are small (< 10⁵ Lsun) satellite galaxies.
- * UFDs are old.
 - Good probe for high-z galaxy.
- Small stellar mass: "0 or 1 rare&prolific r-process".
- Small but important !







- * 3/16 UFD are enriched with Eu.
- * [Eu/Fe] ~ 2: highly enriched, consistent with ~ 0.01 M_{\odot} of r-process enrichment, NSM?
- * [Eu/Fe] ~ 0.5: moderately enriched, NSM in the outskirt or used to be a larger galaxy?

r-process enrichment







- * Inside explosion is favored for highly enriched UFD (Ret II).
- Outside explosion is favored for Moderately enriched UFD (Tuc III, Gru II).



- Sr, Ba: deficit. Eu: Not enough data. *
- 3/16 UFD are enriched with Eu. *
- What is the origin of Ba, Sr in "no r-process" UFDs? *
 - Can AGB stars explain the Ba, Sr abundances in UFDs? *

Normalized to solar

AGB enrichment

 $[X/Y] = \log_{10} \left[\frac{N_X}{N_Y} \right] + C$ Normalized to solar

- * AGB alone cannot explain Ba abundances.
- Additional source (e.g. rotating massive stars) should be working





What can we infer?

	Timescale	Signature	Interpretation
MW, [Fe/H] > -1	A few Gyr	r ↘ s ↗	R: no delay S: delay or metallicity dependence
MW, [Fe/H] < -2	A few	r ∕	R: delay
	100Myr	s ×	S: no information
Classical dwarf	A few Gyr?	r ∕	R: no delay?
(-3 < [Fe/H] < -1)		s ∕	S: delay or metallicity dependence
Ultrafaint dwarf	A few	R: 1 or 0?	R: Rare&prolific
(-3 < [Fe/H] < -2)	100Myr	S: AGB+α	S: additional source (e.g. RMS)
Globular clusters (-3 < [Fe/H] < 0)	Depends on formation process	R: M15? S: ?	R: abundance spread in the natal cloud? S: measurement error?

Unsolved problems

- [Fe/H] > -1 stars have flat [Eu/Mg] [Fe/H] trend: delay shorter than ~Gyr?
- * Do rotating massive stars really produce s-process elements?
- * How should we interpret the Ba abundances of classical dwarfs?